U.S. EPA "State of VI Science" Workshop
Vapor Intrusion Protection Cost-Effectiveness Simulation Tool (2.0)

Soil Gas Management Concepts Using SVE

Lloyd "Bo" Stewart, PhD, PE

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of U.S. EPA.

41st Annual Conference on Soil, Water, Energy, and Air, A Hybrid Conference, October 21st, 2025

PRAXIS ENVIRONMENTAL TECH. INC

Background & Context for Soil Gas Management

- **What "sources" exist for VI?**
  - Today's activities assume contaminated GW
- **What data are typically available for assessing VI potential?**
  - GW data from plume delineation (driver for VI investigations)
  - Indoor air (modest disturbance) and subslab (significant disturb) sampling at structures
  - Shallow (~5'-10' bgs) external soil gas
- **What choices exist for mitigation/elimination of VI?**
  - Install barriers, if applicable (significant residence disturbance)
  - Subslab de-pressurization (significant disturbance, ongoing)
  - Soil vapor extraction (as close to source as possible)
  - Cleanup of the contamination groundwater (expensive, impractical?)

Background & Context for Soil Gas Management

- **Local Vadose Zone**
  - Residual mass remains at levels resulting in significant rebound
- **Groundwater Plumes**
  - Primarily upward vertical vapor migration
  - Vapor plume is fed by volatilization from groundwater



Contaminated soil gas is a source of VOCs for VI

Contaminated groundwater is a source of VOCs for soil vapors

Anytown USA Groundwater Plume



Soils above the aquitard are primarily alluvial deposits, including clayey to sandy **silt**, **sand**, sand & gravel, silty sand, clayey sand, and sandy **clay**.

Background & Context for Soil Gas Management

- **Should we be waiting to eliminate vapor contaminants until they are next to the point-of-use?**
  - Subslab depressurization (SSD) systems are adjacent to people
- **Can we create a separation distance between contaminants and people?**
  - Flushing contaminants from the vadose zone down to the source(s) can create a buffer zone



Design & Operational Concept for SGM

[Flushing Rate / Frequency] > [Vertical Mass Transport Rate]

⇨ ***Soil Vapor Concentration < VISL at Separation Distance***

Design Parameters for Control of Contaminant Vapors:

- How far does one SVE well reach laterally?
  Radius of Effective Management (ROEM)
- What soil gas extraction rate is practical?
- What is the duration of extraction to provide adequate flushing?
- How frequently does the volume require flushing?
- What are appropriate “sentinel” depths and concentrations?
  Separation distance

Design & Operational Concept for SGM

- **Soil vapor extraction (SVE) has been employed for decades to flush contaminants from the vadose zone**
  - Well understood processes and timescales
  - Single well pilot testing can provide estimates for the design parameters

GW well with exposed screen for pilot test? Yes!



- **Lateral extent of flushing (ROEM)**
- **Practical extraction rate**
- **Duration of extraction to flush the volume reached**
- **Rate of "rebound", i.e., time for sentinel wells to exceed VISL-equivalent**

What do SVE Systems Look Like?

20-hp blower powered by a utility pole drop
(up to 600 scfm)

1-hp blower powered by a residential (15A) outlet
(up to 60 scfm)

Design & Operational Concept for SGM

- **Rotate application of mobile SVE across an array of wells covering area above the contaminated GW plume**
  - Flush numerous target pore volumes from a well and move to the next one
  - Monitor vapor concentrations across the vertical extent of the vadose zone
  - Repeat the rotation of extraction wells



Timescales for Vadose Zone Transport





Attaining quasi-equilibrium in the vadose zone can take years



Numerous papers and studies investigate quasi-equilibrium; far fewer look at the transient behavior



Ding et al. 2022, Science of the Total Environment 806 150370, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150370

Timescales for Vadose Zone Transport

Upward Mass Flux Rate and Applicability of SVE & SGM depends on hydrogeology

- *Depth to water*
- *Soil type, layering & sequencing*

⇨ **ROEM is primary cost driver**



Case Study in Southern California

- Dense urban setting with mixed commercial /manufacturing/ homes
- Site was a metal plating shop using solvents (PCE)
- Prior SVE (1990's) and excavation remedies; VI indicated nearby



Case Study in Southern California

- Asphalt/Concrete Surface Cover
- 4 “model” soil layers
- Water table dropped from 45 to 65 ft bgs after release in ‘60’s – ‘80’s

Geology (pneumogeology?) governs VOC transport in the subsurface



Case Study in Southern California

Results from monitoring wide-area effectiveness

"***Field Study of Soil Vapor Extraction for Reducing Off-Site Vapor Intrusion***", Groundwater Monitoring & Remediation, Jan 2020, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwmr.12359

SVE reduces IA and SS concs at buildings 100-200 ft away



Case Study in Southern California

Evaluation of SVE Influence at 5 ft bgs





Case Study in Southern California

Evaluation of 1-year of Rebound







Rebound transients provide reliable data for assessing vapor diffusion coefficients

Case Study in Southern California

Evaluation of Continuous SVE vs Cycling







Calibrated transport parameters are reliable predictors; assumed parameters are not.

Case Study in Southern California

Evaluation of Full-Scale SVE vs Soil Gas Containment



Groundwater monitoring well re-purposed for soil vapor control



"***Analytical Solutions for Steady-State Gas Flow in Layered Soils with Field Applications***", Groundwater Monitoring & Remediation, January 2022, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwmr.12496

Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

Do off-site groundwater concentrations indicate a potential for VI?

- Data are collected as part of the groundwater plume delineation

If yes, do vadose zone soil gases indicate a potential for VI?

- Install and sample triple-nested vapor monitoring points (VMPs)

Do vadose zone soil gases indicate a potential for VI?

- No, perform semi-annual monitoring of triple-nested VMPs
- YES, implement active soil gas management

Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

No VI potential from initial vadose sampling of 10 VMPs
- *perform semi-annual monitoring of triple-nested VMPs*



Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

YES, VI potential exists based on initial vadose sampling of 10 VMPs
- *Implement active SGM (~30 homes over 8.5 acres)*

- Install 1 extraction well (if no open MW screen) and run pilot test
- Monitor rebound in nearby triple-nested VMPs
- Design full system and develop Work Plan
- Install infrastructure (extraction wells and nested monitoring points)
  - 1-1.5 VMP per extraction well
- Procure SVE system and deploy
- Perform cyclic operation and quarterly monitoring

Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

Pilot Test Results:

Base Case Design Parameters	Unit	Estimate
Single Well Radius of Effective Management	feet	**85**
Duration of Subsurface Flushing per Cycle	days	5
Timescale for Rebound of Vapor Concentrations	months	12
Surface Area Targeted for VI Mitigation	acres	8.5

Design Details:

Base Design Details	Unit	Estimate
Number of Extraction Wells	-	**16***
Number of VMPs (~1.5 per extraction well)	-	24*
Rotation Frequency among Extraction Wells	weeks	1
Number of cycles per year	-	2
Surface Area Targeted for VI Mitigation	acres	8.5

*Total number including installs from initial investigation and pilot testing

Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

SVE system = 0.75-hp blower powered by solar panels & GAC off-gas treatment

ROEM = 85 feet (16 wells)
1 system rotated weekly (4 months) twice per year



Triple nest VMP location

Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

ROEM = 120 feet (8 wells)
1 system rotated bi-weekly twice per year



ROEM = 60 feet (33 wells)
2 systems rotated weekly twice per year



Soil Gas Management at Anytown USA

Implementation of SGM proceeds in parallel with GW plume investigation

1” = 250’

QUESTIONS?